

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	6
	1.3 Objectives	8
	1.4 Scope of the study	9
	1.5 Publications derived from this research	10
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>

2.1	Porphyry Copper Deposit	11
2.2	Visible near-infrared and shortwave infrared radiation spectra of hydrothermal alteration minerals	22
2.3	Hyperspectral and multispectral sensors for mapping porphyry copper deposits	26
2.4	Mapping lithology and hydrothermal alteration minerals using ASTER data	29
2.5	Mapping lithology and hydrothermal alteration minerals using EO1 data	45
2.6	Previous remote sensing studies in the Urumieh-Dokhtar Volcanic belt	49
2.7	Summary	51
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Geological setting	53
3.2.1	Urumieh-Dokhtar Volcanic Belt	53
3.2.1.1	Meiduk porphyry copper deposit	58
3.2.1.2	Sar Cheshmeh porphyry copper deposit	59
3.3	Research Instruments	61
3.3.1	ASTER Data	61
3.3.2	Earth Observing One (EO1) Data	67
3.3.3	Field reconnaissance and laboratory data	72
3.3.4	ASTER spectral library	73
3.4	Methodology	74
3.4.1	Preprocessing of ASTER Data	74

	75
3.4.2 Preprocessing of EO1 Data	76
3.4.3 Image processing methods	
3.4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	78
3.4.3.2 Band ratioing	79
3.4.3.3 Minimum Noise Fraction (MNF)	80
3.4.3.4 Spectral mapping methods	81
3.4.3.4.1 Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM)	82
3.4.3.4.2 Linear Spectral Unmixing (LSU)	83
3.4.3.4.3 Matched Filtering (MF)	84
3.4.3.4.4 Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering	84
3.4.3.5 AIG-Developed Hyperspectral Analysis methods	85
3.5 Summary	87
<b>4 RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>88</b>
4.1 Introduction	88
4.2 The results of conventional image processing methods	89
4.2.1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	89
4.2.2 Band Ratio	111
4.2.3 Minimum Noise Fraction (MNF)	123
4.3 The result of spectral mapping methods	130
4.3.1 Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM)	132
4.3.2 Linear Spectral Unmixing (LSU)	134
4.3.3 Matched Filtering (MF) technique	138
4.3.4 Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF)	138

4.4	AIG-Developed Hyperspectral Analysis methods	144
4.5	Comparison of the image processing methods	152
4.6	Field verification	153
4.7	Summary	165
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>166</b>
5.1	Conclusion	166
5.1.1	Enhancement of hydrothermally altered rocks	168
5.1.2	Discrimination of hydrothermal alteration	169
5.1.3	Detection of predominant hydrothermal minerals	170
5.1.4	Capability of image processing methods used	171
5.1.5	Verification of the image processing results	172
5.2	Recommendations for future research	173
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>174</b>
	Appendix A	213

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	The technical characteristics of ASTER data	64
3.2	The performance characteristics of the ALI and ETM+	68
3.3	The performance characteristics of the Hyperion sensor	71
4.1	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on VNIR+SWIR bands of ASTER data for the Meiduk	91
4.2	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on VNIR+SWIR bands of ASTER data for the Sar Cheshmeh	91
4.3	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on TIR subsystem of ASTER data, the Meiduk scene	100
4.4	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on TIR subsystem of ASTER data, the Sar Cheshmeh scene	100
4.5	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on VNIR bands of ALI data, the Meiduk scene	106
4.6	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on VNIR bands of ALI data, the Sar Cheshmeh scene	106
4.7	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on SWIR bands of ALI data, the Meiduk scene	107
4.8	Eigenvector matrix of principal components analysis on SWIR bands of ALI data, the Sar Cheshmeh scene	107
4.9	The percentage of eigenvalues for all of MNF bands extracted from ASTER VNIR bands, the Meiduk and Sar	123

	Cheshmeh scenes	
4.10	The percentage of eigenvalues for all of MNF bands extracted from ASTER SWIR data, the Meiduk and Sar Cheshmeh scenes	123
4.11	The percentage of eigenvalues for all of MNF bands extracted from ASTER TIR data, the Meiduk and Sar Cheshmeh scenes	123
4.12	The percentage of eigenvalues for all of MNF bands extracted from ALI SWIR data, the Meiduk and Sar Cheshmeh scenes	123
4.13	Statistic results of unmixing end-member minerals, Meiduk/Sara subset scene	134
4.14	Statistic results of unmixing end-member minerals, Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune subset scene	134

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Copper world production trends since 1900	12
2.2	Copper prices from 2003 to 2011 in USD per ton	13
2.3	Copper output in 2005 shown as percentage of the top producer	13
2.4	Panoramic view of the porphyry copper deposits	15
2.5	Worldwide locations of porphyry copper deposits	16
2.6	Hydrothermal alteration zones associated with porphyry copper deposit	18
2.7	ASTER spectral bands in the wavelength of the electromagnetic spectrum. (B) The comparison of ASTER spectral bands with Landsat-7 TM/ETM <sup>+</sup>	20
2.8	Laboratory spectra of muscovite, kaolinite, alunite, epidote, calcite, and chlorite resampled to ASTER bandpasses	22
2.9	Laboratory spectra of epidote, calcite, muscovite, kaolinite, chlorite and alunite. (B) Laboratory spectra of limonite, jarosite, hematite and goethite	26
2.10	Comparison of ASTER, AVIRIS and Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) VNIR and SWIR image spatial and spectral characteristics: (A) Swath width and spatial resolution. (B) Spectral bands	29
3.1	NASA's 2000 GeoCover global orthorectified Landsat 7	54

	mosaics merged image of Iran. The study area is located in black cube in southeastern part of the image	
3.2	Simplified Geology map of the southeastern part of the Urumieh-Dokhtar Volcanic Belt. Study areas are located in the ellipsoidal polygons	57
3.3	Geological map of Meiduk region	59
3.4	Geological map of Sarcheshmeh region	60
3.5	Stereoscopic capability and characteristics of three separate subsystems of ASTER sensor	64
3.6	Applications of ASTER data in wide range of science research	65
3.7	view of the “morning constellation” consists of landsat-7, EO1, SAC-C and Terra platforms	67
3.8	The comparison of Signal-to-Noise Ratios of the ALI bands and ETM <sup>+</sup>	69
3.9	The comparison of ALI, ETM <sup>+</sup> , and ASTER spectral bandpasses on the subject of hydrothermal alteration mineral mapping	70
3.10	Hyperion ground track in relation to ALI and Landsat-7 ETM <sup>+</sup>	70
3.11	Hyperion and ASTER spectral bands compared to Landsat-7 ETM <sup>+</sup>	72
3.12	Simplified flow chart of the methodology used in this study	77
3.13	Diagram showing the performance of Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF) method	85
3.14	AGI processing methods for hyperspectral data analysis	86
4.1	(A) PC4 image for the Meiduk ASTER scene; (B) PC4 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene	93



4.2	(A) PC5 image for the Meiduk ASTER scene; (B) PC5 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene	94
4.3	(A) PC6 image and (B) PC7 image for the Meiduk ASTER scene	96
4.4	(A) PC6 image and (B) PC7 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene	98
4.5	RGB color composite of PC5, PC6, and PC7 image for the Meiduk (A) and Sar Cheshmeh (B) ASTER scenes	99
4.6	(A) PC2 image and (B) PC4 image for the Meiduk ASTER scene	102
4.7	(A) PC2 image and (B) PC3 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene	103
4.8	(A) RGB color composite of PC2, band 10, and PC4 image for the Meiduk ASTER scene. (B) RGB color composite of PC2, band 10, and PC3 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene	105
4.9	(A) RGB color composite of PC3 (SWIR), PC3 (VNIR), and band 1 image for the Meiduk ALI scene	109
4.9	(B) RGB color composite of PC3 (SWIR), PC3 (VNIR), and band 1 image for the Sar Cheshmeh ALI scene	110
4.10	ASTER StVI= $(\text{Band3}/\text{Band2}) * (\text{Band1}/\text{Band2})$ image for the Meiduk scene (A), and the Sar Cheshmeh scene (B)	112
4.11	ASTER band ratio image of 4/2 for the Meiduk and Sara mines (A), and for the Sar Cheshmeh and Seridune mines (B)	113
4.12	(A) ASTER RBD-ratio image of RBD5, RBD6, and RBD8 in RGB for the Meiduk region. (B) The Sar Cheshmeh region	116

4.13	ASTER band ratio image of 5/6, 7/6, and 9/8 in RGB (A) for the Meiduk; and (B) for the Sar Cheshmeh scene	117
4.14	ASTER band ratio image of 13/14, 14/12, and 7 in RGB for the Meiduk (A) and the Sar Cheshmeh scene (B)	119
4.15	(A) ALI band ratio image of 4/2, 8/9, and 5/4 in RGB for the Meiduk ALI scene	121
4.15	(B) ALI band ratio image of 4/2, 8/9, and 5/4 in RGB for the Sar Cheshmeh ALI scene	122
4.16	(A) RGB color composite of MNF eigenimages 1, 2, and 3 extracted from ASTER SWIR bands for the Meiduk scene. (B) For the Sar Cheshmeh scene	126
4.17	(A) RGB color composite of MNF eigenimages 1, 2, and 3 extracted from TIR bands for the Meiduk scene and (B) for the Sar Cheshmeh scene	127
4.18	(A) RGB color composite of MNF eigenimages 1, 2, and 3 extracted from ALI SWIR bands for the Meiduk scene	128
4.18	(B) RGB color composite of MNF eigenimages 1, 2, and 3 extracted from ALI SWIR bands for the Sar Cheshmeh scene	129
4.19	Laboratory spectra of end-member minerals from ASTER spectral library plotted in the SWIR subsystem	131
4.20	SAM classification image of muscovite, kaolinite, and epidote images as RGB color composite that overlaid on the gray-scale image background for the Meiduk ASTER scene (A), and for the Sar Cheshmeh ASTER scene (B)	133
4.21	Two-dimensional scatter plots, showing unmixing	136

	pixel's value with very low (zero) RMS error for end-member minerals. (A) Muscovite; (B) Kaolinite; (C) Epidote	
4.22	LSU classification image of muscovite, kaolinite, and epidote fractional abundance images as RGB color composite that overlaid on the gray-scale image background. (A) For the Meiduk/Sara ASTER subset scene. (B) For the Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune ASTER subset scene	137
4.23	MF classification image of muscovite, kaolinite, and epidote MF score images as RGB color composite that overlaid on the gray-scale image background of the ASTER subset scenes. (A) For the Meiduk/Sara ASTER subset scene. (B) For the Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune ASTER subset scene	140
4.24	Two-dimensional scatter plots indicate mapped pixels with low infeasibilities and high MF scores for end-member minerals. (A) Muscovite; (B) Kaolinite; (C) Epidote	141
4.25	MTMF classification image of muscovite, kaolinite, and epidote MF score images as RGB color composite that overlaid on the gray-scale image background of the ASTER subset scenes. (A) For the Meiduk/Sara ASTER subset scene; (B) For the Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune ASTER subset scene	142
4.26	MTMF visual results derived from SWIR bands of ALI subscene. (A) For the Meiduk ALI subscene. (B) For the Sar Cheshmeh ALI subscene	143
4.27	MNF eigenvalue plot for selected bands of Hyperion data	144

4.28	(A) RGB color composite of MNF bands 1, 2, and 3 extracted from Hyperion selected bands for the Meiduk/ Sara mines	145
4.28	(B) RGB color composite of MNF bands 1, 2, and 3 extracted from Hyperion selected bands for the Sar Cheshmeh/Seridun mines	146
4.29	Extracted mineral signatures from Hyperion analysis for iron oxide/hydroxide minerals	148
4.30	Extracted mineral signatures from Hyperion analysis for clay alteration minerals	148
4.31	(A) SAM classification image of the distribution of spectrally predominant iron oxide/hydroxide minerals in the hydrothermally altered rocks that showed as colored pixels in the Mieduk/Sara subset scene. (B) Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune subset scene	150
4.32	(A) SAM classification image of the distribution of spectrally predominant clay alteration mineral assemblages in the hydrothermally altered rocks that showed as colored pixels in the Mieduk/Sara subset scene. (B) Sar Cheshmeh/Seridune subset scene.	151
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (A) Panoramic view of the volcanic rocks. (B) Panoramic view of the sedimentary rocks	155
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (C) Regional View of Gossan (iron oxide minerals). (D) Volcanic rocks and Gossan	156
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (E) Regional view of the open-pit quarry of Meiduk porphyry copper mine. (F) Regional view of the open-pit quarry of Sarcheshmeh porphyry copper mine.	157
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (G) View of the	158

	phyllic zone. (H) View of the phyllic zone	
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (I) Close-up of the phyllic zone. (J) Close-up of the phyllic zone	159
4.33	Field photographs of the study area. (K) View of the Argillic alteration zone. (L) View of the Propylitic alteration zone	160
4.34	Results of XRD for specific alteration zones (A) Phyllic rock samples; (B) Argillic rock samples; (C) Propylitic rock samples	161
4.35	Laboratory reflectance spectra of altered rock samples, arrows pointed the maximum absorption	162
4.36	Simplified alteration map illustrates the distribution of hydrothermally altered rocks in the Meiduk mine. This map was produced using Hyperion data. The sampling sites are marked by stars	163
4.37	Simplified alteration map illustrates the distribution of hydrothermally altered rocks in the Sar Cheshmeh mine. This map was produced using published alteration map and Hyperion data. The sampling sites are marked by stars	164